IN THE M.P.STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL AT RAIPUR

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49 OF 1998 WPS 2375/05

APPLICANT : ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY,
SON OF SHRI DRARKA PRASAD PANDEY,
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS,
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-PANDA TARAI,
BLOCK & TAH. PANDHARIYA,
DISTT. BILASPUR (M.P.)

V E R S U S

NON-APPLICANTS/
RESPONDENTS : (1) STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, BILASPUR,
DISTT. BILASPUR (M.P.)

(2) COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
GOVERNMENT OF MADHYA PRADESH,
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (DPI OFFICE
NEAR CHETAK BRIDGE, BHOPAL (MP)

(3) DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
EDUCATION DISTT. BILASPUR,
P.O.BILASPUR (M.P.)

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT,1985(NO.13 OF 1985) READ WITH ARTICLES 14 & 16 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

45

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.2375 OF 2005

Petitioner	:	Ashok Kumar Pandey
	versus	
Respondents	:	State of Madhya Pradesh and others

Present : Mr. Alok Nigam, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER
(Passed on 7th of September, 2006)

It is submitted that the facts and question of law involved in the present petition are same to that of in *Ram Sahay Jha vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others* (Original Application No.15/1995). The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, by its judgment and order dated 16-2-1996, allowed the application of the petitioner therein. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to approach the respondents/State for consideration and disposal of the petitioner's

case in terms of the order dated 16-2-1996 passed in the case of *Ram Sahay Jha* (Supra) by Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State fairly agrees with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Accordingly, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation before the respondents – State Authorities for their decision on the representation in the light of the order dated 16-2-1996 passed in the case of *Ram Sahay Jha* (Supra), in accordance with law, on its own merit, within reasonable time.

4. With the above-stated liberty, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge